UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/560,153 | 03/05/2007 | Masaki Hirose | 450106-05224 | 9466 | |
| William S. Fron | 7590 07/07/200 nmer | EXAMINER | | | |
| Frommer Lawre 745 Fifth Aven | | QUADER, FAZLUL | | | |
| New York, NY | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2164 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/07/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/560,153 | HIROSE ET AL. | | |
| | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |

| | FAZLUL | QUADER | 2164 | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ears on th | e cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>19 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATIO | N IN CONDITION FOR A | LOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1 eal (with a |) an amendment, affidavit ppeal fee) in compliance v | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the | e final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Act ater than S | ion, or (2) the date set forth i X MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | | CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later 1 may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and shortened s than three | the corresponding amount of tatutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | liance witl | n 37 CFR 41.37 must be f | iled within two months | s of the date of | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS | nsion ther | eof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | but prior to | the date of filing a brief, | will <u>not</u> be entered be | cause | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | | n and/or search (see NOT | E below); | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette | ter form fo | or appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying th | ne issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | correspon | ding number of finally reje | cted claims | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | оопоорон | aning mambor or imany rojo | otou olaimo. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See at | tached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | (- | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo | | submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | , | , | J | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-13</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | d 14 660 bl | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the extraorded Information Displaceure Statement (a) (1) | | | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I13. ☐ Other: | (P10/SB/ | o) Paper No(S) | | | | | |
| /Charles Rones/ | F. | AZLUL QUADER | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164 | E | xaminer rt Unit: 2164 | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: David discloses, information process apparatus that manages data recorded on a disc medium (abstract), comprising: information obtainment means for obtaining reproduction information necessary to reproduce the data when the data are recorded, said data being low resolution data and video and audio data for each clip ([0003]; [0236]); generation means for generating a first management file with which data that compose each clip that is a predetermined structural unit of data are managed ([0049]-[0050]), the first management file describing for each clip (1) the reproduction information of data that compose the clip ([0091]; [0110]; [0115]) and (2) an identifier that uniquely identifies data that compose the clip ([0110]); registration means for updating management information for all clips composed of (a) the reproduction information of data that compose each clip ([0128]), (b) the unique identifier of data that compose each clip, and (c) information that represents the recorded position of data that compose the clip to a second an index management file with which clips and edit lists recorded on the record disc medium are totally managed (abstract; [0011]-[0016]; [0056]; [[0303]) and successive reproduction means for successively reproducing data that compose all the clips recorded on the record disc medium in an order of recordation according to the first clip management file or the second index management file, wherein when the record disc medium is loaded, the second index management file is read from the record disc medium and stored to a memory and when a clip to be reproduced is designated, the first clip management file is read from the record medium and stored to the memory ([0057]-[0058]). David, however, does not explicitly disclose, "registration means for updating management information": David also does not explicitly disclose clip management file or index management file. Takagi, on the other hand, explicitly discloses, "registration means for updating management information" (abs. lines 9-12). Um, on the other hand, explicitly discloses clip management file or index management file (Um: [0010]; [0035]). The rejections are, therefore, maintained.